1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	Northern District of California		
10	Oakland Division		
11	MARIA G. SOSA,	No. C 12-00144 LB	
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	
13	BANKOF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST,	[ECF No. 14]	
1415			
16	On January 31, 2012, Plaintiff Maria G. Sosa fil	led an ex parte application for a temporary	
17	restraining order to enjoin Defendant Bank of New	York Mellon Trust from proceeding with a	
18	foreclosure sale scheduled for February 3, 2012. A	pplication for a Temporary Restraining Order,	
19	ECF No. 13 at 1-2. Sosa also filed a motion for a p	preliminary injunction. Motion for a Preliminary	
20	Injunction, ECF No. 14 at 1-2. On February 2, 201	2, with both parties in attendance, the court	
21	conducted a hearing on Sosas's application for a ter	mporary restraining order. The court granted	
22	Sosa's application for a temporary restraining order	because she raised serious questions on the	
23	merits and the balance of equities tipped in her favor	or, and the other elements required for injunctive	
24	relief were satisfied. Order, ECF No. 17.		
25	The standards for a temporary restraining order	mirror that for a preliminary injunction. See	
26	Stuhlbarg Int'l Sales Co., Inc. v. John D. Brush & C	Co., Inc., 240 F.3d 832, 839 n.7 (9th Cir. 2001).	
27			
28	¹ Citations are to the Electronic Case File (" number at the top of the document, not the pages at		

C 12-00144 LB ORDER

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

Here, Defendant reiterates the arguments it made in opposition to Sosa's application for a temporary		
restraining order. The only new wrinkle is that Defendant submits a revised affidavit from Sosa's		
loan servicer, now includes copies of the letters that it allegedly sent. Lara Decl., ECF No. 22-2.		
Again, while probative, the affidavit and its attachments do not establish that there are no factual		
disputes regarding Defendant's compliance with the requirements of section 2923.5. For example,		
neither the declaration nor exhibits establish that the letters were sent via certified mail as required		
by the statute.		

For the foregoing reasons, the court **GRANTS** Sosa's application for a preliminary injunction.

Defendant, as well as its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with them, is enjoined from conducting a deed of trust sale of or foreclosing on 475 Bell Street, East Palo Alto, California until the court issues a case-dispositive ruling or otherwise lifts the injunction.

Sosa is required to post a bond of \$3,000 per month. The bond must be posted on the first of each month. If Sosa fails to post any of the bonds, the preliminary injunction will expire. Local Civil Rule 65-1.1 sets forth the requirements for posting a bond.

This disposes of ECF No. 14.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 27, 2012

LAUREL BEELER

United States Magistrate Judge